

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):

V. James DeSimone, SBN 119668
Michael Morrison, SBN 205320
SCHONBRUN DeSIMONE SEPLOW HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP
723 Ocean Front Walk, Venice, CA 90291

TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 396-0731 FAX NO.: (310) 399-7040

ATTORNEY FOR (Name): David Henderson

CONFORMED COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
Los Angeles Superior Court

DEC 06 2007

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street

MAILING ADDRESS:

CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012

BRANCH NAME: Central District- Stanley Mosk Courthouse

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

BY MARY GARCIA, Deputy

CASE NAME:

David Henderson v. Raytheon Company, et al.

CASE NUMBER: BC381868

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Complex Case Designation

Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)

Counter Joinder
Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)

JUDGE:

DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort

Auto (22)
 Uninsured motorist (46)

Other P/PI/PD/W/D (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)
 Product liability (24)
 Medical malpractice (45)
 Other P/PI/PD/W/D (23)

Non-P/PI/PD/W/D (Other) Tort

Business tort/unfair business practice (07)
 Civil rights (08)
 Defamation (13)
 Fraud (16)
 Intellectual property (19)
 Professional negligence (25)
 Other non-P/PI/PD/W/D tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful termination (36)
 Other employment (15)

Contract

Breach of contract/warranty (06)
 Rule 3.740 collections (09)
 Other collections (09)
 Insurance coverage (18)
 Other contract (37)

Real Property

Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14)
 Wrongful eviction (33)
 Other real property (26)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)
 Residential (32)
 Drugs (38)

Judicial Review

Asset forfeiture (05)
 Petition re: arbitration award (11)
 Writ of mandate (02)
 Other judicial review (39)

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
 Construction defect (10)
 Mass tort (40)
 Securities litigation (28)
 Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
 Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of judgment (20)

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
 Other complaint (not specified above) (42)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and corporate governance (21)
 Other petition (not specified above) (43)

2. This case is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

- a. Large number of separately represented parties
- b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
- c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence
- d. Large number of witnesses
- e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
- f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision

3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5


5. This case is is not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: December 6, 2007

Michael Morrison

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)


(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

- Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
- Uninsured Motorist (46) (*if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto*)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

- Asbestos (04)
 - Asbestos Property Damage
 - Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
- Product Liability (*not asbestos or toxic/environmental*) (24)
- Medical Malpractice (45)
 - Medical Malpractice—Physicians & Surgeons
 - Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
- Other PI/PD/WD (23)
 - Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
 - Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
 - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
 - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
 - Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

- Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
- Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (*not civil harassment*) (08)
- Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
- Fraud (16)
- Intellectual Property (19)
- Professional Negligence (25)
 - Legal Malpractice
 - Other Professional Malpractice (*not medical or legal*)
- Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

- Wrongful Termination (36)
- Other Employment (15)

Contract

- Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
 - Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (*not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction*)
- Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (*not fraud or negligence*)
- Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)
 - Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
 - Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
- Insurance Coverage (*not provisionally complex*) (18)
 - Auto Subrogation
 - Other Coverage
- Other Contract (37)
 - Contractual Fraud
 - Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

- Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
- Wrongful Eviction (33)
- Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
 - Writ of Possession of Real Property
 - Mortgage Foreclosure
 - Quiet Title
- Other Real Property (*not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure*)

Unlawful Detainer

- Commercial (31)
- Residential (32)
- Drugs (38) (*if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential*)

Judicial Review

- Asset Forfeiture (05)
- Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
- Writ of Mandate (02)
 - Writ—Administrative Mandamus
 - Writ—Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
 - Writ—Other Limited Court Case Review
- Other Judicial Review (39)
 - Review of Health Officer Order
 - Notice of Appeal—Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

- Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
- Construction Defect (10)
- Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
- Securities Litigation (28)
- Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
- Insurance Coverage Claims (*arising from provisionally complex case type listed above*) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

- Enforcement of Judgment (20)
 - Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
 - Confession of Judgment (*non-domestic relations*)
 - Sister State Judgment
 - Administrative Agency Award (*not unpaid taxes*)
 - Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
 - Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

- RICO (27)
- Other Complaint (*not specified above*) (42)
 - Declaratory Relief Only
 - Injunctive Relief Only (*non-harassment*)
 - Mechanics Lien
 - Other Commercial Complaint Case (*non-tort/non-complex*)
 - Other Civil Complaint (*non-tort/non-complex*)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

- Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
- Other Petition (*not specified above*) (43)
 - Civil Harassment
 - Workplace Violence
 - Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
 - Election Contest
 - Petition for Name Change
 - Petition for Relief From Late Claim
 - Other Civil Petition

**SUMMONS
(CITACION JUDICIAL)**

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):**

Raytheon Company (DBA Raytheon Systems Company), a Delaware Corporation, and/or DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

**YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):**

David Henderson, an individual on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and the general public

SUM-100
CONFORMED COPY
FILED
Los Angeles Superior Court

DEC 06 2007

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
M. Garcia
BY MARY GARCIA, Deputy

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California-Central District-Stanley Mosk Courthouse
111 N. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER:
(Número del Caso):

BC381868

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

V. James DeSimone- SCHONBRUN DeSIMONE SEPLOW HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP (310) 396-0731
723 Ocean Front Walk, Venice, CA 90012

DATE:
(Fecha)

DEC 06 2007

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

M. GARCIA

Deputy
(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use the Service of Summons form (POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el Formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).


NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

- as an individual defendant.
- as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

3. on behalf of (specify):

- | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| under: <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.10 (corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.60 (minor) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.70 (conservatee) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) | <input type="checkbox"/> | CCP 416.90 (authorized person) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | other (specify): | | |

4. by personal delivery on (date):



SHORT TITLE: Henderson v. Raytheon Company, et al.	CASE NUMBER BC381868
---	--------------------------------

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION
(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)**

This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL ²¹ HOURS/ DAYS

Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – if you checked “Limited Case”, skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. 2. May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). 3. Location where cause of action arose. 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. 7. Location where petitioner resides. 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside. 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office. |
|---|--|

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6070 Asbestos Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons <input type="checkbox"/> A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) <input type="checkbox"/> A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress <input type="checkbox"/> A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
	Civil Rights (08)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
	Defamation (13)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
	Fraud (16)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/
Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)

Employment

Contract

Real Property

Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer

SHORT TITLE: Henderson v. Raytheon Company, et al.	CASE NUMBER
---	-------------

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels _____	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6032 Quiet Title	2., 6.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

SHORT TITLE:
Henderson v. Raytheon Company, et al.

CASE NUMBER

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)

Provisionally Complex
Litigation

Enforcement
of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil
Complaints

Miscellaneous Civil Petitions

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Writ of Mandate (02)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter <input type="checkbox"/> A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2.
Other Judicial Review (39)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2. 2., 8.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Securities Litigation (28)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6141 Sister State Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A6160 Abstract of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) <input type="checkbox"/> A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) <input type="checkbox"/> A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax <input type="checkbox"/> A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6030 Declaratory Relief Only <input type="checkbox"/> A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) <input type="checkbox"/> A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) <input type="checkbox"/> A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6121 Civil Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A6123 Workplace Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case <input type="checkbox"/> A6190 Election Contest <input type="checkbox"/> A6110 Petition for Change of Name <input type="checkbox"/> A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law <input type="checkbox"/> A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

SHORT TITLE: Henderson v. Raytheon Company, et al.	CASE NUMBER
---	-------------

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., **Step 3** on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE		ADDRESS:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10.			
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	

Item IV. *Declaration of Assignment.* I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)).

Dated: December 6, 2007


 (SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.
2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

1 V. James DeSimone, SBN 119668
2 Michael Morrison, SBN 205320
3 SCHONBRUN, DE SIMONE, SEPLow,
4 HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP
723 Ocean Front Walk
Venice, CA 90291
Telephone: (310) 396-0731

5 LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS W. FALVEY
6 THOMAS W. FALVEY, SBN 65744
7 JOSEPH P. MALHAM, SBN 115925
8 J.D. HENDERSON, SBN 235767
301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 800
Pasadena, California 91101
Telephone: (626) 795-0205

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 David Henderson

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

13 BC 381868

14 DAVID HENDERSON, an individual,
15 on behalf of himself, all others similarly
16 situated, and the general public,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 RAYTHEON COMPANY (DBA
20 RAYTHEON SYSTEMS COMPANY),
a Delaware Corporation, and/or DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,

21 Defendants.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

CLASS ACTION (Plaintiff Class)
(California Code of Civil Procedure
§382)

1. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION (CAL. LABOR CODE § 1194)
2. WAITING TIME PENALTIES (CAL. LABOR. CODE § 203)
3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED STATEMENTS (CAL. LABOR CODE § 226)
4. CONVERSION (CAL. CIVIL CODE §§ 3336, 3294)
5. UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES (CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200 ET SEQ.)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

CONFORMED COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
Los Angeles Superior Court

DEC 06 2007

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

BY MARY GARCIA, Deputy

1 Plaintiff DAVID HENDERSON (“PLAINTIFF”), as an individual, and on behalf
2 of himself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, complains and alleges on
3 information and belief the following against RAYTHEON COMPANY (DBA RAYTHEON
4 SYSTEMS COMPANY) and/or DOES 1-100 (“DEFENDANTS”):

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 1. The RAYTHEON COMPANY is a large government contractor
7 specializing in defense and aerospace systems. The RAYTHEON COMPANY has more
8 than 70,000 employees worldwide and generated over \$20.3 billion in sales in 2006. In
9 California, the RAYTHEON COMPANY operates several large facilities throughout the
10 state.

11 2. Many of the RAYTHEON COMPANY’s non-exempt employees in
12 California work Alternative Work Schedules (“AWS”). The purpose of AWS is to
13 compress the number of days that employees are required to work without the employer
14 having to pay overtime for hours worked between eight (8) and twelve (12) in a day.
15 PLAINTIFF worked an AWS consisting of nine (9) days and eighty (80) hours within a
16 fourteen (14) day pay period. However, prior to adopting these AWS, the RAYTHEON
17 COMPANY did not follow the required election procedures as set forth in the California
18 Labor Code Section 510 and the relevant Industrial Welfare Commission Orders.
19 Consequently, all non-exempt RAYTHEON COMPANY employees in California who
20 have in the past or currently work AWS, including, but not limited to, 9/80 work
21 schedules, are entitled to overtime for each hour they worked above eight (8) in a day.
22 This lawsuit seeks to recover all such overtime and other wages and penalties owed to the
23 RAYTHEON COMPANY’s non-exempt employees.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 3. The Court has personal jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS because they are
26 residents of and/or doing business in the state of California.

27 4. Venue is proper in this Court in accordance with Section 395(a) of the
28

1 California Code of Civil Procedure because DEFENDANTS, or some of them, reside in
2 Los Angeles County. Moreover, DEFENDANTS employed PLAINTIFF in Los Angeles
3 County and the harms occurred in Los Angeles County.

4 **PARTIES**

5 5. PLAINTIFF DAVID HENDERSON at all relevant times was a non-exempt
6 employee of DEFENDANTS and a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of
7 California.

8 6. DEFENDANT RAYTHEON COMPANY is and at all relevant times was a
9 Delaware corporation whose headquarters are located in Waltham, Massachusetts. The
10 RAYTHEON COMPANY has facilities throughout California, regularly conducts
11 business in California and employs thousands of California residents in California,
12 including El Segundo.

13 7. The true names and capacities of defendants named herein as DOES 1
14 through 100, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are
15 unknown to PLAINTIFF, who therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious names.
16 PLAINTIFF will amend this Complaint to show true names and capacities when they
17 have been determined.

18 8. At all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were the
19 agents, representatives, employees, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries and/or
20 affiliates, each of the other, and at all times pertinent hereto were acting within the course
21 and scope of their authority as such agents, representatives, employees, successors,
22 assigns, parents, subsidiaries and/or affiliates.

23 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

24 9. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly
25 situated as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382.
26 The class that PLAINTIFF seeks to represent (herein referred to as " PLAINTIFF
27 CLASS") is composed of and defined as follows:

1 a. All similarly situated non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in
2 California who work(ed) Alternative Work Schedules (“AWS”), including, but not
3 limited to, AWS consisting of nine (9) days and eighty (80) hours in a fourteen (14)
4 day period, and who were denied all the overtime pay to which they were entitled
5 pursuant to the California Labor Code, the California Industrial Welfare
6 Commission’s (“IWC”) Wage Orders and all other applicable Employment Laws
7 and Regulations, dating back four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

8 b. All non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS who were not provided
9 with accurate itemized statements as required by California Labor Code § 226.

10 c. All similarly situated non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in
11 California who were not paid all wages due to them upon termination or resignation
12 in the period proscribed by California Labor Code §§ 201-203.

13 10. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members
14 would be unfeasible and not practicable. The membership of the entire class is unknown
15 to PLAINTIFF at this time; however, it is estimated that the entire class is greater than
16 100 individuals, but the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable via
17 inspection of the personnel records and other documents maintained by DEFENDANTS.

18 11. There are common questions of law and fact as to the class which
19 predominate over questions affecting only individual members including, without,
20 limitation:

21 A. Whether DEFENDANTS denied PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF
22 CLASS all the wages, including overtime wages, to which they were entitled pursuant to
23 the California Labor Code, the California Industrial Welfare Commission's ("IWC")
24 Wage Orders, and all other applicable Employment Laws and Regulations.

25 B. Whether DEFENDANTS followed the proper election procedures
26 before implementing an AWS, including, but not limited to, an AWS consisting of nine
27 (9) days and eighty (80) hours in a fourteen (14) day period;

1 C. Whether DEFENDANTS failed to provide PLAINTIFF and
2 members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS with accurate itemized statements;

3 D. Whether DEFENDANTS owe PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF
4 CLASS waiting time penalties pursuant to Cal. Labor Code § 203;

5 E. Whether DEFENDANTS engaged in unfair business practices;

6 F. Whether DEFENDANTS acted with, malice, oppression and fraud
7 thereby justifying the award of punitive and exemplary damages.

8 G. The effect upon and the extent of damages suffered by the
9 PLAINTIFF CLASS and the appropriate amount of compensation.

10 12. The claims of PLAINTIFF pled as class action claims are typical of the
11 claims of all members of the class as they arise out of the same course of conduct and are
12 predicated on the same violation(s) of the law. PLAINTIFF, as a representative party,
13 will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class by vigorously pursuing this suit
14 through his attorneys who are skilled and experienced in handling matters of this type.

15 13. The nature of this action and the nature of the laws available to the
16 PLAINTIFF CLASS make use of the class action format a particularly efficient and
17 appropriate procedure to afford relief to the PLAINTIFF CLASS. Further, this case
18 involves a corporate employer and a large number of individual employees possessing
19 claims with common issues of law and fact. If each employee were required to file an
20 individual lawsuit, the corporate defendants would necessarily gain an unconscionable
21 advantage since it would be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each
22 individual plaintiff with its vastly superior financial and legal resources. Requiring each
23 class member to pursue an individual remedy would also discourage the assertion of
24 lawful claims by employees who would be disinclined to pursue an action against their
25 present and/or former employer for an appreciable and justifiable fear of retaliation and
26 permanent damage to their careers at present and/or subsequent employment. Proof of a
27 common business practice or factual pattern, of which the named plaintiff experienced, is
28

1 representative of the class mentioned herein and will establish the right of each of the
2 members of the class to recovery on the claims alleged herein.

3 14. The prosecution of separate actions by the individual class members, even if
4 possible, would create: (a) a substantial risk of inconvenient or varying verdicts or
5 adjudications with respect to the individual class members against the defendants herein;
6 and/or (b) legal determinations with respect to individual class members which would, as
7 a practical matter, be dispositive of the other class members not parties to the
8 adjudications or which would substantially impair or impede the ability of class members
9 to protect their interests. Further, the claims of the individual members of the class are
10 not sufficiently large to warrant vigorous individual prosecution considering all of the
11 concomitant costs and expenses attending thereto. PLAINTIFF is also unaware of any
12 difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would
13 preclude its maintenance as a class action.

14 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

15 15. PLAINTIFF is a former employee of DEFENDANT the RAYTHEON
16 COMPANY at their facility in El Segundo, California. PLAINTIFF was a non-exempt
17 employee who was entitled to overtime for any hours worked beyond eight (8) in a day or
18 forty (40) in a week.

19 16. PLAINTIFF and other similarly situated employees which comprise the
20 PLAINTIFF CLASS work(ed) Alternative Work Schedules, including, but not limited to,
21 AWS consisting of nine (9) days and eighty (80) hours in a fourteen (14) day period.

22 17. Prior to implementing the AWS, DEFENDANTS did not follow the
23 required election procedures as set forth in California Labor Code Section 510 and the
24 relevant IWC Wage Orders. DEFENDANTS, however, falsely represented to the
25 Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Research and Statistics that they
26 had properly complied with the required election procedures. PLAINTIFF is informed
27 and believes and thereon alleges that DEFENDANTS' misrepresentations to the
28

1 Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Research and Statistics were
2 willful and purposeful and were intended to circumvent California law with respect to the
3 adoption of AWS procedures and the payment of overtime.

4 18. PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS did not and do not
5 receive overtime for working more than eight (8) hours in a day despite routinely working
6 nine (9) or more hour days.

7 19. DEFENDANTS also failed to pay PLAINTIFF and members of the
8 PLAINTIFF CLASS all wages due upon their termination or resignation from
9 DEFENDANTS as required by Cal. Labor Code §§ 201-203. In addition,
10 DEFENDANTS failed to provide PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS
11 with accurate itemized statements as required by Cal. Labor Code § 226.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION**

14 **(CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE SECTION 1194)**

15 By PLAINTIFF in his individual capacity and in his capacity as a representative of all
16 similarly situated members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS against all DEFENDANTS.

17 20. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates, by reference, as though fully set
18 forth herein, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 19.

19 21. DEFENDANTS routinely required PLAINTIFF and members of the
20 PLAINTIFF CLASS to work more than eight (8) hours per day, twelve (12) hours per
21 day, and/or forty (40) hours per week.

22 22. DEFENDANTS failed to fully compensate PLAINTIFF and members of
23 the PLAINTIFF CLASS for all wages earned, including overtime wages.

24 23. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the failure of
25 DEFENDANTS to fully compensate PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF CLASS for all
26 wages earned and overtime work was willful, purposeful, and unlawful and done in
27 accordance with the policies and practices of DEFENDANTS' operations.

1 Code § 203.

2 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED STATEMENTS**

4 **(CAL. LABOR CODE § 226)**

5 By PLAINTIFF in his individual capacity and in his capacity as a representative of all
6 similarly situated members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS against all DEFENDANTS.

7 29. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates, by reference, as though fully set
8 forth herein, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 28.

9 30. DEFENDANTS failed to provide PLAINTIFF and members of the
10 PLAINTIFF CLASS with accurate itemized statements as required by Cal. Labor Code §
11 226. In particular, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally did not state on
12 PLAINTIFF's and the PLAINTIFF CLASS' payroll records that they had earned
13 overtime for any hour they worked over eight (8) in a day.

14 31. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
15 DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally falsified the aforementioned payroll records
16 in order to conceal its unlawful payment practices – in particular, the fact that
17 DEFENDANTS did not follow the proper election procedures before adopting an AWS
18 and therefore their non-exempt employees were entitled to overtime for any day they
19 worked more than eight (8) hours. As a result, PLAINTIFF and members of the
20 PLAINTIFF CLASS are entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty
21 dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars
22 (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an
23 aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and are entitled to an award of costs
24 and reasonable attorney fees.

1 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **CONVERSION**

3 (CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 3336 AND 3294)

4 By PLAINTIFF in his individual capacity and in his capacity as a representative of all
5 similarly situated members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS against all DEFENDANTS.

6 32. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates, by reference, as though fully set
7 forth herein, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 31.

8 33. As alleged above, DEFENDANTS wrongfully withheld earned wages and
9 other monies from PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS. In particular,
10 DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS all
11 overtime wages they earned pursuant to the applicable Employment Laws and
12 Regulations.

13 34. At all relevant times, DEFENDANTS had and continue to have a legal
14 obligation imposed by statute to pay PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF
15 CLASS all earned wages and other compensation due to them. Such wages and
16 compensation belonged to PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS at the
17 time the labor and services were provided to DEFENDANTS, and accordingly, such
18 wages and compensation are the property of PLAINTIFF and members of the
19 PLAINTIFF CLASS, not DEFENDANTS.

20 35. DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to pay PLAINTIFF and
21 members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS all overtime compensation for overtime hours
22 worked. Instead, DEFENDANTS converted PLAINTIFF's and members of the
23 PLAINTIFF CLASS' rightfully earned wages and converted them to DEFENDANTS'
24 own use and benefit.

25 36. PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS have been injured by
26 DEFENDANTS' intentional conversion of such wages and compensation. PLAINTIFF
27 and the PLAINTIFF CLASS are entitled to immediate possession of all amounts

1 converted by DEFENDANTS, with interest, as well as any and all profits that
2 DEFENDANTS acquired by their unlawful conversion.

3 37. DEFENDANTS' actions constituting conversion were oppressive,
4 malicious, and fraudulent, and were concealed by DEFENDANTS, and each of them,
5 from named PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF CLASS as hereinbefore alleged. PLAINTIFF
6 and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS have been injured by DEFENDANTS'
7 oppressive, malicious, intentional and fraudulent actions, entitling PLAINTIFF and the
8 PLAINTIFF CLASS to punitive and exemplary damages.

9 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES**

11 (CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200, ET SEQ.)

12 By PLAINTIFF in his individual capacity and in her capacity as a representative of all
13 similarly situated members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS against all DEFENDANTS.

14 38. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates, by reference, as though fully set
15 forth herein, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 37.

16 39. DEFENDANTS' violations of the Employment Laws and Regulations, as
17 alleged herein, include: (1) DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages,
18 including overtime wages, earned by PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF CLASS pursuant
19 to DEFENDANTS' illegal pay practices described above; (2) DEFENDANTS' willful
20 and deliberate failure to provide accurate itemized statements; and (3) DEFENDANTS'
21 wrongful withholding and conversion of wages and compensation due to PLAINTIFF and
22 the PLAINTIFF CLASS. The aforementioned violations constitute unfair business
23 practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, California Business & Professions
24 Code Section 17200, et seq.

25 40. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair business practices, DEFENDANTS
26 have reaped unfair benefits and illegal profits at the expense of PLAINTIFF, the
27 PLAINTIFF CLASS and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be made to
28

1 disgorge their ill-gotten gains and restore such monies to PLAINTIFF and the
2 PLAINTIFF CLASS.

3 41. DEFENDANTS' unfair business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and the
4 PLAINTIFF CLASS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but
5 not limited to, orders that the DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge and restore to
6 PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF CLASS the compensation unlawfully withheld from
7 them.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 **WHEREFORE**, PLAINTIFF prays judgment as follows:

- 10 1. That the Court determine that Causes of Action 1-5 may be maintained as a
11 class action;
- 12 2. For injunctive relief to stop DEFENDANTS' illegal practices relating to the
13 payment of wages, including overtime wages as described above;
- 14 3. For general and compensatory damages, according to proof;
- 15 4. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and the PLAINTIFF
16 CLASS and disgorgement of profits from the unlawful business practices of
17 DEFENDANTS;
- 18 5. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 203;
- 19 6. For penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226, 558 and all other
20 applicable Labor Code and/or Employment Laws and Regulations;
- 21 7. For interest accrued to date;
- 22 8. For costs of the suit incurred herein;
- 23 9. For punitive damages and exemplary damages, according to proof;
- 24 10. For attorney fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§'s 218.5,
25 226 and 1194; and

26 ///

27 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

11. For such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: December 6, 2007

SCHONBRUN DESIMONE SEPLOW
HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP

By Michael Morrison
Michael Morrison
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David Henderson


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFF and members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS further request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED: December **6**, 2007

SCHONBRUN DESIMONE SEPLOW
HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP

By 
Michael Morrison
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David Henderson

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT – UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE
 CASE NUMBER _____**

BC381868

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below (Local Rule 7.3 (c)). There is additional information on the reverse side of this form.

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
Hon. Gregory Alarcon	36	410	Hon. William Highberger	32	406
Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	Hon. Ernest Hiroshige	54	512
Hon. Conrad Aragon	49	509	Hon. Jane Johnson	56	514
Hon. Helen I. Bendix	18	308	Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506
Hon. Elihu M. Berle	42	416	Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	515
Hon. Tricia Ann Bigelow	23	315	Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72	731
Hon. Soussan Bruguera	71	729	Hon. Rita Miller	16	306
Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510	Hon. David L. Minning	61	632
Hon. James C. Chalfant	13	630	Hon. Aurelio Munoz	47	507
Hon. Victoria Chanley	324	CCW	Hon. Mary Ann Murphy	25	317
Hon. Judith C. Chirlin	19	311	Hon. Joanne O'Donnell	37	413
Hon. Ralph W. Dau	57	517	Hon. Michael C. Solner	39	415
Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis	38	412	Hon. Mel Recana	45	529
Hon. James R. Dunn	26	316	Hon. Andria K. Richey	31	407
Hon. Mark Mooney	68	617	Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon	74	735
Hon. William F. Fahey	78	730	Hon. Ann I. Jones	40	414
Hon. Irving Feffer	51	511	Hon. John P. Shook	53	513
Hon. Edward A. Ferns	69	621	Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian	41	417
Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman	64	601	Hon. Michael L. Stern	62	600
Hon. Haley J. Fromholz	20	310	Hon. Mary Thornton House	17	313
Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307	Hon. Rolf M. Treu	58	516
Hon. Terry Green	14	300	Hon. John Shepard Wiley Jr.	50	508
Hon. Elizabeth A. Grimes	30	400	Hon. Charles C. Lee	33	409
Hon. Paul Gutman	34	408			
Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314			
			OTHER		

*Class Actions

Given to Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of record on _____

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

_____, Deputy Clerk